

A COMPARISON OF HUMAN AND IDEAL PERFORMANCE
FOR THE DETECTION OF VISUAL PATTERN

A THESIS
SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

BY

DANIEL JOHN KERSTEN

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
June, 1983

ABSTRACT

Human performance was compared with ideal performance for the detection of visual patterns in the presence and absence of dynamic visual noise in four experiments.

1) Contrast thresholds for Gaussian enveloped sinusoidal gratings were measured as a function of spatial extent in the presence and absence of noise. To a first approximation, spatial summation in noise, for a given spatial frequency, can be described by the cross-correlation of the stimulus with a template matched to the pattern "seen best" for that frequency, a grating about a cycle wide. These results are contrasted with spatial summation for the same patterns in the absence of noise, where a simple cross-correlation model does not work.

2) Contrast thresholds were measured for spatially narrowly windowed 2 and 6 c/deg gratings presented alone and superimposed. If one allows for differences in relative sensitivity, detection performance is determined by contrast energy.

3) Four lines of converging evidence were provided which suggest that phase information becomes increasingly relevant to detection as the noise level is raised and when the spatial and temporal frequencies (drift rate) are lowered.

4) The signals to be detected were visual noise. Contrast thresholds for noise signals grow with the fourth root of bandwidth both in noise and in the absence of noise for bandwidths greater than 1 or 2 octaves for the human observers and the ideal observer. The efficiency for detection in noise was uniformly high (30-69%) for bandwidths from 2 to 6 octaves. The implication is that for noise signals, the strategy is "ideal like", i.e. the bandwidth monitored by the observer appears to match the signal bandwidth to at least 6 octaves.

In summary, efficiency can be quite high in noise for deterministic signals which may match "receptive field" profiles. Efficiency is also quite high for stochastic or noise signals superimposed on a noise background. This indicates highly flexible detection strategies resembling on the one hand cross-correlation and on the other "energy detection". In contrast to detection in noise, detection strategy against a uniform field seems to be relatively inflexible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by PHS grant EY02857 to Gordon E. Legge and a University of Minnesota Doctoral Dissertation Grant.

Mervyn Bergman and Bob Witkofsky taught me the relevance of lathes, milling machines and transistors to Psychology. David Koenen and Andrew Luebker helped with hardware and software. Special thanks go to observers Dennis Riebhoff and Philip Kersten. My brother, Phil, also found time to do some of the graphics.

I thank my examiners, Dwight Burkhardt, Hillel Gershenson, Richard Purple and Neal Viemeister for their helpful comments and criticisms. Neal Viemeister generously lent me software and equipment.

Mary Schleske often smoothed out rough days. Greg Wakefield provided many hours of fruitful discussions about ideal observers. Gary Rubin generously shared his time, software, ideas and criticisms throughout many phases of this work.

Denis Pelli is responsible for introducing me to visual noise. His research motivated many of the questions addressed in this thesis. Denis taught me assembly language, some electronics and the "right" way to use signal detection theory.

Gordon Legge was my mentor. He always tempered criticism with encouragement. His continual insistence on clarity often brought new insight to old results. I also appreciate the patience he showed in letting me learn by pursuing my own ideas.

Finally, my wife Joanne has shown patience, love and endurance above and beyond the call of duty. I thank her for typing and for cheerfully greeting me when I'd arrive home from the laboratory at 8 in the morning.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
	Methodology	2
	Thesis Organization	5
	Overview	5
Chapter 2:	Spatial Summation in Visual Noise10
	Abstract10
	Introduction11
	The Ideal Observer in Studies of Spatial Summation14
	Computation of Ideal Performance.17
	Method20
	Apparatus20
	Procedure22
	Observers23
	Results23
	Discussion31
	Thresholds in Noise - Models.31
	Bandwidths.38
	Physiological Implications40
	Thresholds in the Absence of Noise.42
	Summary44
	Appendix 2.145
	Appendix 2.246
	References48
Chapter 3:	Spatial-Frequency Summation in Visual Noise53
	Abstract53
	Introduction54
	Review of Two-Component Grating Research56
	Questions Concerning Spatial-Frequency Summation61
	Method65
	Apparatus65
	Stimuli66
	Procedures.67
	Observers70
	Results and Discussion70
	Summary.81
	Appendix 3.182
	References86
Chapter 4:	Aspects of Phase in Visual Detection89
	Abstract89
	Introduction90
	Phase Uncertainty Experiment (Exp. 1)92
	Psychometric Function Experiment (Exp. 2)93
	Phase Recognition Experiment (Exp. 3).95

Stimulus Conditions95
Method97
Apparatus97
Stimulus Conditions98
Procedure: Phase-Uncertainty Experiment . .	100
Procedure: Psychometric Function Experiment	102
Procedure: Phase-Recognition Experiment . .	104
Results and Discussion	105
Phase Uncertainty Experiment	105
Performance as a function of phase . . .	106
Effect of uncertainty on performance . .	107
Phase uncertainty and the psychometric function slope	111
Psychometric Function Experiment	112
Phase Recognition Experiment.	116
Summary.	118
Appendix 4.1	120
References	123

Chapter 5: Noise-Signal Detection as a Function of Width and Spatial-Frequency Bandwidth	126
Abstract	126
Introduction	127
Method	132
Apparatus	132
Definitions	134
Stimuli	137
Procedures	138
Observers	141
Results	141
Effect of Bandwidth	141
Effect of Width	145
Discussion	146
The Energy Detector	147
Adjustable Bandwidths	150
Multiple Channels	151
The Cross-correlator	153
Coincidence?	154
Appendix 5.1	156
Appendix 5.2	158
References	161